EFFECT OF CALCIUM CARBIDE, HUMIC ACID AND NITROGEN APPLICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUNFLOWER (*HELIANTHUS ANNUUS* L.) Hussein Taha Radhi Al-Furaiji^{1,2} and Jaafar Abbas Shamsullah³

USSEIII Taha naulii Al-Furaiji ahu Jaalar Abbas Shahisuha

¹Mesopotamia State Company for Seeds, Ministry of Agriculture, Iraq.

²Department of Soil science and Water Resources, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

³Department of Desertification Comb. - College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Experimental Area in Research Station A in One of college of Agricultural Engineering sciences Fields' in University of Baghdad in Aljadriya, Baghdad –Iraq during Autumn season 2018, to evaluate the effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application alone and in combination on growth and yield of sunflower "aqmar" ornamental hybrid. The experiment was laid out on Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with sixteen treatments and three replications having three factors. Treatment plan was contain calcium carbide (0 and 60) kg CaC₂ ha⁻¹, humic acid (0 and 70) kg ha⁻¹ and four levels of nitrogen fertilizer (0, 50, 100 and 150) kg N ha⁻¹ in the presence of recommended doses of P and K fertilizer (35 kg P ha⁻¹ and 66 kg K ha⁻¹). Maximum seeds yield and oil yield were recorded in the treatment $C_1H_1N_2$ (60 kg CaC₂ ha⁻¹ + 70 kg humic acid ha⁻¹ + 100 kg N ha⁻¹) which were (6.855 and 3.093) Mg ha⁻¹ comparing with control $C_0H_0N_0$ treatment (3.548 and 1.719) Mg ha⁻¹ with increasing rates (93.21% and 79.93%) respectively, Maximum dry vegetative and biological yield (12.433 and 20.579) Mg ha⁻¹ were noticed in $C_1H_1N_3$ treatment with increasing rates (166.4% and 109.67%) comparing with control treatment $C_0H_0N_0$ which were (4.667 and 9.815) Mg ha⁻¹ respectively, there are non-significant differences between $C_1H_1N_2$ and $C_1H_1N_3$ treatments . Maximum plant height in $C_0H_1N_3$ was 240 cm increasing rate (27.44% at control treatment $C_0H_0N_0$ (188.33 cm) and the lowest plant height was (175.83 cm) in $C_1H_0N_0$ treatment with decreasing rate (55.06%) comparing with control treatment $C_0H_0N_0$ which was (15.33 cm), there are non-significant differences between $C_1H_1N_3$ and $C_1H_1N_3$ treatments in head diameter. *Keywords*: calcium carbide, ethylene, nitrification inhibitor, humic acid, nitrogen fertilizer, Sunflower.

Introduction

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is an important oil seed crop which ranks 3^{rd} after soybean and peanut along with other oil seed crops like (canola, and cotton) which contributes considerably to edible oil in the world (Thavaprakash *et al.*, 2002).

Sunflower seed oil is highly rich in unsaturated fatty acids [oleic acid (20%) and linoleic acid (70%)] required for cell structure. It is also light colored, delicious and easily digested. Sunflower oil catalyzes the intake of oil-soluble vitamins (A, D, E and K) in to body and plays a remedial role in cardiovascular and cholesterol diseases (Kolsarici et al., 2005). According to FAO statistics, the share of sunflower in providing world oil demand is 7.3% (FAO, 2007). Calcium carbide is a potent source of the acetylene (nitrification inhibitor) and ethylene (plant hormone) gases when it react with water (Yaseen et al., 2006). Calcium carbide affects plant growth through hormonal action of ethylene as well as improved nutrients use efficiency (NUE); however, the latter factor might be a relatively more contributing. When calcium carbide is applied to the soil, it reacts with soil water to release acetylene (C_2H_2). The acetylene reduced to ethylene (C_2H_4) by soil microorganisms with the help of enzyme (Nitrogenase). The ethylene (C_2H_4) enters the plant through roots. The acetylene (C_2H_2) is potent inhibitor of nitrification process and the ethylene (C₂H₄) affects physiological processes in plants (Khristeva, 1953; Freney et al., 2000; Ramesh, and Kumar, 2006). Experiments have shown that humic acid improved production of sugar, protein and vitamins in plants that had a positive impact on various aspects of photosynthesis and increased yield and quality of the product (Sharif et al., 2002). One study demonstrated that application of humic acid enhanced evaluations for oil content, seed weight and seed number per head in sunflower (Wisi and Hidari, (2013).

Nitrogen is one of the major nutrients that enhance the metabolic processes that based on protein, leads to increases in vegetative, reproductive growth and yield of the crop (Zubillaga et al., 2002; Koutroubas et al., 2008). Nitrogen is one of the most essential elements for plant especially sunflower, so it considers a limiting factor for their growth, because crops are demanded in such a large amount, the agricultural sector relies greatly on nitrogen and often inappropriate uses it, which threatens environment: air, water and soil quality (Xu, Fan and Miller, 2012). Nitrogen is main element for sunflower grain production and oil extraction (Abdel-Motagally and Osman, 2010; Rasool, Hassan and Jahangir, 2013). They were observed the effect of increasing nitrogen in soil by fertilization on several growth parameters (plant height, stem diameter, head diameter, 1000 seed weight, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield ha⁻¹ and oil yield ha⁻¹) causing increasing in production. Nitrogen fertilization affects many important agronomical parameters such as leaf area, head diameter, seed weight and yield (Ali and Noorka, 2013). According to the dosage of nitrogen used, either decreases or increases in oil yield may be reported.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted as factorial in a randomized complete block design with three replications, The field of study lies in the Researches Station A in One of fields of college Agricultural and Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad in Aljadriya, Baghdad, Iraq during Autumn season 2018 to evaluate the effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen application alone and in combination on growth and yield of sunflower "aqmar" ornamental hybrid the following treatments were tested with three replications:

 T_1 . $C_0H_0N_0 = \text{control} (0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}).$

 T_3 . $C_0H_0N_2 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.

 T_2 . $C_0H_0N_1 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.

Effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen application on growth and yield of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.)

T_4 . $C_0H_0N_3 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 150 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.
T_5 . $C_1H_0N_0 = 60 \text{ kg Ca}C_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.
T_6 . $C_1H_0N_1 = 60 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.
T_7 . $C_1H_0N_2 = 60 \text{ kg Ca}C_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$
T_8 . $C_1H_0N_3 = 60 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 150 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$
T ₉ . C ₀ H ₁ N ₀ = 0 kg CaC ₂ ha ⁻¹ + 70 kg Humic Acid ha ⁻¹ + 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ .
T_{10} . $C_0H_1N_1 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 70 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.
T_{11} . $C_0H_1N_2 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 70 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$
T_{12} . $C_0H_1N_3 = 0 \text{ kg CaC}_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 70 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 150 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$

 T_{13} . $C_1H_1N_0 = 60 \text{ kg Ca}C_2 \text{ ha}^{-1} + 70 \text{ kg Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} T_{13} \cdot C_1 H_1 N_0 = 60 \ \text{kg} \ \text{CaC}_2 \ \text{ia}^{-1} + 70 \ \text{kg} \ \text{Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 50 \ \text{kg N ha}^{-1} \\ T_{14} \cdot C_1 H_1 N_1 = 60 \ \text{kg} \ \text{CaC}_2 \ \text{ha}^{-1} + 70 \ \text{kg} \ \text{Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 50 \ \text{kg N ha}^{-1} \\ T_{15} \cdot C_1 H_1 N_2 = 60 \ \text{kg} \ \text{CaC}_2 \ \text{ha}^{-1} + 70 \ \text{kg} \ \text{Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 100 \ \text{kg N ha}^{-1} \\ T_{16} \cdot C_1 H_1 N_3 = 60 \ \text{kg} \ \text{CaC}_2 \ \text{ha}^{-1} + 70 \ \text{kg} \ \text{Humic Acid ha}^{-1} + 150 \ \text{kg N ha}^{-1} \\ \end{array}$

The Surface Soil from 0-30 cm depth samples was collected from the research area, mixed and sent to the Laboratory to make tests for it table (1).

Table 1: Shows some of Chemical and Physical properties of the Soil used in the Experiment which measured according to standard methods used in (Page et al., 1982)

Chara	acteristics	Value	Unit
Hydrogen	Potential (pH)	7.45	
Electric Co	nductivity (EC)	1.92	dSm ⁻¹
N	$H_4^+ - N$	20.72	mg kg ⁻¹ Soil
N	$O_3 - N$	26.51	mg kg ⁻¹ Soil
Availabl	e Phosphorus	8.1	mg kg ⁻¹ Soil
Availab	le Potassium	185.0	mg kg ⁻¹ Soil
G	ypsum	1.75	$g kg^{-1}$
Minerals	of Carbonate	225	g kg ⁻¹
Organ	nic matter	10.5	g kg⁻¹Soil
	Ca ⁺²	11.2	mmole L^{-1}
Cations	Mg^{+2}	7.1	mmole L^{-1}
Cations	K ⁺¹	2.3	mmole L^{-1}
	Na^{+1}	10.5	mmole L^{-1}
	SO_4^{-1}	5.2	mmole L^{-1}
Anions	Cl ⁻¹	21.3	mmole L^{-1}
Anons	HCO_3^{-1}	3.05	mmole L^{-1}
	CO_3^{-2}	Nill	mmole L^{-1}
	CEC	19.5	cmole _c kg ⁻¹
Soil		g kg⁻¹	Soil texture Class
Sand		352.0	
	Silt	523.0	Silty Loam
	Clay	125.0	
Bulk	Density	1.45	mega g m ⁻³

Results

1. Height of Plant

Table (2) indicated the statistical results of calcium carbide application alone which was decreased significantly in height of plant (211.16 cm) in C_1 treatment with decreasing percent (4.10%) with the treatment C_0 which was (220.18 cm). humic acid application was increased in height of plant (226.24 cm) in H_1 treatment with increasing percent (10.31%) comparing with treatment H₀ (205.10 cm), while the nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in height of plant the highest value was (229.70 cm) in N₃ treatment which was higher than the lowest value (200.33) cm) by (14.66%).

The double interference between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased significantly in height of plant, the highest value was (226.79 cm) in C₀H₁ treatment comparing with control C_0H_0 which was (213.58 cm) with increasing rate (6.19%), while the lowest value was (196.62 cm) in C_1H_0 with reducing rate (7.94%) comparing with control treatment which was (213.58 cm). the double interference between calcium carbide and nitrogen fertilizer had different interaction between increasing and decreasing in height of plant, the highest value was (236.66 cm) in C₀N₃ treatment with increasing rate (17.02%) comparing with control treatment C_0N_0 which was (202.24 cm), while the lowest value was (198.41 cm) in C₁N₀ with non-significant decreasing rate (1.89%) comparing with control treatment

 C_0N_0 which was (202.24 cm), the double interference between humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in height of plant, the highest value was (236.50 cm) in H_1N_3 treatment with increasing rate (29.89%) comparing with control treatment H_0N_0 which was (182.08) cm).

The triple interference among calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in height of plant, the highest value was (240.0 cm) in $C_0H_1N_3$ with increasing rate (27.44%) comparing with control treatment C₀H₀N₀ which was (188.33 cm) while the lowest value was (175.83 cm) with significantly decreasing rate (6.64%) comparing with control treatment C₀H₀N₀ which was (188.33 cm).

2. Yield of Seeds

Table (3) indicated the statistical results of addition of carbide calcium alone which was increased significantly in seeds yield (5.444 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1 compared with control C_0 $(4.480 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1})$ with rate of increasing (21.52%), Humic acid application alone was increased in seeds yield significantly (5.668 Mg ha⁻¹) in H₁ with increasing percent (33.18%) comparing with H_0 (4.256 Mg ha⁻¹). Nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in seeds yield, the maximum yield (5.394 Mg ha⁻¹) in N₃ without significant different with N_2 comparing with N_0 (4.394 Mg ha⁻¹) with increasing percent (22.76%).

The interference between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased significantly in seeds yield; the maximum yield (6.377 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1H_1 with increasing rate (59.43%) comparing with control C_0H_0 (4.000 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between calcium carbide and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in seeds yield; the maximum yield (5.887 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1N_2 with increasing percent (53.07%) comparing with C_0N_0 (3.846 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between Humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly, the maximum yield (6.153 Mg ha⁻¹) in H_1N_3 with increasing percent (63.12%) comparing with H_0N_0 (3.772 Mg ha⁻¹), there are not significant different between H_1N_3 and H_1N_2 .

The triple interference between calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in seeds yield, the maximum seeds yield (6.855 Mg ha⁻¹) in $C_1H_1N_2$ with increasing percent (93.21%) comparing with $C_0H_0N_0$ (3.548 Mg ha⁻¹).

3. Dry Vegetative Weight

Table (4) showed the statistical results of dry vegetative weight, calcium carbide application was increased significantly in dry weight (9.453 Mg ha⁻¹) in C₁ compared with control C₀ (7.499 Mg ha⁻¹) with increasing percent (26.06%), Humic acid application alone was increased in dry weight significantly (10.027 Mg ha⁻¹) in H₁ with increasing percent (44.79%) comparing with H₀ (6.925 Mg ha⁻¹). Nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in dry weight, the maximum (10.025 Mg ha⁻¹) in N₃with increasing percent (68.57%) comparing with N₀ (5.947 Mg ha⁻¹).

The interaction between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased significantly in dry weight; the maximum weight (11.003 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1H_1 with increasing percent (85.02%) comparing with control C_0H_0 (5.947 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between calcium carbide and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in dry weight; the maximum weight (10.890 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1N_3 with increasing percent (94.46%) comparing with C_0N_0 (5.600 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between Humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly, the maximum dry weight (11.577 Mg ha⁻¹) in H_1N_3 with increasing percent (137.87%) comparing with H_0N_0 (4.867 Mg ha⁻¹).

The triple interaction between calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in dry vegetative weight, the maximum weight (12.433 Mg ha⁻¹) in $C_1H_1N_3$ with increasing percent (166.4%) comparing with $C_0H_0N_0$ (4.667 Mg ha⁻¹). There are non-significant differences between $C_1H_1N_2$ and $C_1H_1N_3$ treatments.

4. Biological Yield

Table (5) showed the statistical results of Biological yield, calcium carbide application was increased significantly in Biological yield (16.422 Mg ha⁻¹) in C₁ compared with control C₀ (13.579 Mg ha⁻¹) with increasing percent (20.94%), Humic acid application alone was increased in Biological yield significantly (17.220 Mg ha⁻¹) in H₁ with increasing percent (34.73%) comparing with H₀ (12.781 Mg ha⁻¹). Nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in Biological Yield, the maximum (16.969 Mg ha⁻¹) in N₃ with increasing percent (42.12%) comparing with N₀ (11.940 Mg ha⁻¹).

The double interference between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased significantly in Biological yield; the maximum (18.829 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1H_1 with increasing percent (63.06%) comparing with control C_0H_0 (11.547 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between calcium carbide and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in Biological yield; the maximum (18.165 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1N_3 with increasing percent (64.46%) comparing with C_0N_0 (11.045 Mg ha⁻¹). The interaction between Humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly, the maximum Biological yield (19.230 Mg ha⁻¹) in H_1N_3 with increasing percent (87.81%) comparing with H_0N_0 (10.239 Mg ha⁻¹), there are not significant different between H_1N_3 and H_1N_2

The triple interference between calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in Biological yield, the maximum value (20.579 Mg ha⁻¹) in $C_1H_1N_3$ with increasing percent (109.67%) comparing with $C_0H_0N_0$ (9.815 Mg ha⁻¹). There are non-significant differences between $C_1H_1N_2$ and $C_1H_1N_3$ treatments.

5. Diameter of Head (cm)

Table (6) indicated the statistical results of carbide calcium application alone which was increased significantly in head diameter (21.14 cm) in C₁ treatment which was higher than control treatment C₀ (18.74 cm) by (12.81%). Humic acid application was increased in diameter of head (21.71 cm) in H₁ treatment with increasing rate (19.84%) comparing with control treatment H₀ (18.17 cm). the levels of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased in diameter of head of sunflower, the highest value was (20.83 cm) in N₃ treatment which was higher than the lowest value (18.88 cm) in control treatment N₀ by (10.33%), there are non-significant differences between N₂ and N₃ treatments.

The double interference between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased significantly in diameter of head, the highest value of head diameter was (22.75 cm) in C_1H_1 treatment which was higher than the lowest value (16.81) cm) in control treatment C_0H_0 by (35.34%). The double interference between calcium carbide and levels of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased in diameter of head significantly, the highest value of head diameter was (22.05 cm) in C_1N_3 treatment with increasing rate (24.79%) comparing with control treatment C_0N_0 which was (17.67) cm) there are non-significant differences between C_1N_2 and C_1N_3 , the double interference between humic acid and levels of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased significantly in diameter of head, the highest value was (22.49) in H_1N_3 treatment with increasing rate (31.29%) comparing with control treatment H_0N_0 which was (17.13 cm), there are nonsignificant differences between H₁N₂ and H₁N₃ treatments.

The triple interference among calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer was increased significantly in diameter of head, the highest value was (23.77 cm) in $C_1H_1N_3$ treatment with increasing rate (55.06%) comparing with control treatment $C_0H_0N_0$ (15.33 cm), there are non-significant differences between $C_1H_1N_2$ and $C_1H_1N_3$ treatments.

6. Yield of Oil

Table (7) was showed the statistical results of effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application on yield of oil, calcium carbide application was

increased in yield of oil (2.465 Mg ha⁻¹) in C₁ treatment with increasing rate (18.45%) comparing with control treatment C₀ which was (2.081 Mg ha⁻¹), humic acid was increased in yield of oil (2.592 Mg ha⁻¹) in H₁ treatment with increasing rate (32.72%) comparing with control treatment H₀ which was (1.953 Mg ha⁻¹), while the levels of nitrogen fertilizer application were increased in yield of oil, the highest value was (2.423 Mg ha⁻¹) in N₂ treatment which was higher than control treatment N₀ which was (2.089 Mg ha⁻¹) by (15.99%).

The double interference between calcium carbide and humic acid was increased in yield of oil, the highest value was (2.887 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1H_1 by (23.23%) comparing with control treatment C_0H_0 which was (1.864 Mg ha⁻¹).The double interference between calcium carbide and the levels

of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased in yield of oil, the highest value was (2.662 Mg ha⁻¹) in C_1N_2 treatment which was higher than control treatment C_0N_0 (1.853 Mg ha⁻¹) by (43.66%). The double interference between humic acid and the levels of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased in yield of oil, The highest value was (2.778 Mg ha⁻¹) in H_1N_2 treatment which was higher than control treatment H_0N_0 (1.800 Mg ha⁻¹) by (54.33%).

The triple interference among calcium carbide, humic acid and levels of nitrogen fertilizer application was increased in yield of oil, The highest value was (3.093 Mg ha⁻¹) in $C_1H_1N_2$ treatment which was increased (79.93%) comparing with control treatment $C_0H_0N_0$ which was (1.719 Mg ha⁻¹).

Table 2: Effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application on height of plant (cm).

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Effect Mean			
Levels	Levels	N_0	N_1	N_2	N_3	H*C
ц	C_0	188.33	207.00	225.66	233.33	213.58
Π_0	C ₁	175.83	191.33	206.83	212.50	196.62
ц	C_0	216.16	219.66	231.33	240.00	226.79
Π1	C ₁	221.00	219.83	229.00	233.00	225.70
L.S	S.D. 0.05		11	.94		5.97
						Mean C
N*C	C_0	202.24	213.33	228.50	236.66	220.18
n c	C_1	198.41	205.58	217.91	222.75	211.16
L.5	S.D. 0.05		4.22			
						Mean H
N*H	H_0	182.08	199.16	216.25	222.91	205.10
11.11	H_1	218.58	219.75	230.16	236.50	226.24
L.S	S.D. 0.05		4.22			
					Mean N	
	Ν	200.33 209.45 223.20 229.70			215.67	
L.5	S.D. 0.05	5.97				

Table 3 : Effect of Calcium Carbide, Humic Acid and Nitrogen Application of Seeds Yield (Mg ha⁻¹)

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Effect Mean			
Levels	Levels	N_0	N_1	N_2	N ₃	H*C
ц	C_0	3.548	3.867	4.121	4.465	4.000
Π_0	C_1	3.995	4.326	4.919	4.803	4.511
ц	C_0	4.143	4.775	5.360	5.560	4.960
\mathbf{n}_1	C_1	5.888	6.017	6.855	6.746	6.377
L.9	S.D. 0.05		0.2	290		0.145
						Mean C
N*C	C_0	3.846	4.321	4.741	5.013	4.480
N*C	C_1	4.942	5.172	5.887	5.775	5.444
L.S.D. 0.05			0.103			
						Mean H
N*H	H_0	3.772	4.097	4.520	4.634	4.256
19-11	H_1	5.016	5.396	6.108	6.153	5.668
L.S.D. 0.05			0.103			
						Mean N
N		4.394	4.746	5.314	5.394	4.962
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.1	45		

	Table 4 :	Effect of Calcium	Carbide, Humic	Acid and Nitrogen	Application of Dry	Vegetative	Weight (I	Mg ha ⁻¹)
--	-----------	-------------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------	------------	-----------	-----------------------

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Effect Mean				
Levels	Levels	N_0	N_1	N ₂	N_3	H*C	
TT	C_0	4.667	5.253	6.267	7.600	5.947	
Π_0	C_1	5.067	8.133	9.067	9.347	7.904	
ц	C_0	6.533	8.813	10.137	10.720	9.051	
111	C ₁	7.520	11.790	12.267	12.433	11.003	
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0	.210		0.105	
						Mean C	
N*C	C_0	5.600	7.033	8.202	9.160	7.499	
N°C	C ₁	6.294	9.962	10.667	10.890	9.453	
L.S.D. 0.05			0.074				
						Mean H	
N*H	H_0	4.867	6.693	7.667	8.474	6.925	
19-11	H_1	7.03	10.302	11.202	11.577	10.027	
L.S.D. 0.05			0.074				
						Mean N	
	Ν	5.947	8.497	9.435	10.025	8.476	
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.105				

Table 5: Effect Calcium Carbide, Humic Acid and Nitrogen Application of Biological Yield (Mg ha⁻¹)

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Effect Mean			
Levels	Levels	N_0	N_1	N ₂	N ₃	H*C
и	C_0	9.815	10.720	11.988	13.665	11.547
Π_0	C ₁	10.662	14.059	15.586	15.750	14.014
п	C_0	12.276	15.188	17.097	17.880	15.610
111	C ₁	15.008	19.407	20.322	20.579	18.829
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.3	784		0.1892
						Mean. C
N*C	C_0	11.045	12.954	14.543	15.773	13.579
N°C	C_1	12.835	16.733	17.954	18.165	16.422
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.1338			
						Mean. H
N*H	H_0	10.239	12.390	13.787	14.708	12.781
11.11	H_1	13.642	17.298	18.710	19.230	17.220
L.S.D. 0.05 0.2675			0.1338			
					Mean. N	
	Ν	11.940 14.844 16.248 16.969			15.000	
L.S	S.D. 0.05					

Table 6 : Effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application of Diameter of Head (cm)

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Nitrogen Levels					
Levels	Levels	N ₀	N ₁	N_2	N ₃	H*C		
II	C_0	15.33	16.57	17.34	18.01	16.81		
Π_0	C_1	18.92	19.00	19.83	20.33	19.52		
ц	C_0	20.01	20.55	20.91	21.21	20.67		
\mathbf{n}_1	C_1	21.24	22.33	23.67	23.77	22.75		
L.S	S.D. 0.05		1	.06		0.53		
						Mean. C		
N*C	C_0	17.67	18.56	19.13	19.61	18.74		
N°C	C_1	20.08	20.67	21.75	22.05	21.14		
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.37					
						Mean H		
N*H	H_0	17.13	17.79	18.59	19.17	18.17		
11.11	H_1	20.63	21.44	22.29	22.49	21.71		
L.S	S.D. 0.05		0.37					
				Mean N				
N 18.88 19.61			19.61	20.44	20.83	19.94		
L.S	S.D. 0.05							

Effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen application on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

Humic Acid	Calcium Carbide		Nitrogen Levels					
Levels	Levels	N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	H*C		
H ₀	C_0	1.719	1.860	1.905	1.973	1.864		
	C_1	1.882	1.992	2.231	2.065	2.043		
H ₁	C_0	1.987	2.285	2.463	2.453	2.297		
	C ₁	2.766	2.753	3.093	2.935	2.887		
L.9	S.D. 0.05		0.1	44		0.072		
						Mean C		
N*C	C_0	1.853	2.072	2.184	2.213	2.081		
	C ₁	2.324	2.372	2.662	2.500	2.465		
L.9	L.S.D. 0.05		0.102					
						Mean H		
N*H	H_0	1.800	1.926	2.068	2.019	1.953		
	H_1	2.377	2.519	2.778	2.694	2.592		
L.S.D. 0.05		0.102				0.051		
						Mean N		
Ν		2.089	2.222	2.423	2.357	2.273		
L.9	S.D. 0.05		0.0)72				

Table 7: Effect of calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer application on yield of oil (Mg ha⁻¹)

Discussions

The result was indicated the effect of calcium carbide was decreased significantly on height of plant, that return to effect of calcium carbide when reacts with water released acetylene (C_2H_2) and ethylene (C_2H_4) , Ethylene was decreased the plant height by inhibits the movement of Auxin in stem tissue, possibly reducing the ability of Auxin to promote stem elongation (Morgan and Gusman, 1966). Vertical reduction of plant height causes increase in horizontal expansion of plant by decreasing the intermodal distance. Calcium carbide produced acetylene which reduced the nitrification process increased the efficiency of nitrogen use and ethylene triggered the adventitious root formation, ethylene enhanced the root formation which was responsible for the better nutrient uptake and hence enhanced the vegetative growth (Seenewera et al., 2003). This may be reason for increasing yield of seeds table (3), dry vegetative weight table (4), biological yield table (5), head diameter table (6) and yield of oil table (7). (Keerthisinghe et al., 1996) and ultimately increases the vegetative growth like dry vegetative weight table (4), biological yield table (5), head diameter table (6), yield of seeds table (3) and yield of oil table (7) (Kashif et al., 2008) this result deals with (Yaseen et al., 2009; Abbasi et al., 2009; Mahmood et al., 2010).

Humic acid application was increased in plant height because humic acid is proposed to modify soil structure, aeration, ability of soil to keep water and increase nutrient absorption, these reasons were increased in plant height table (2). Humic acid application is containing abundant nutrients improves soil fertility and increase the availability of nutrients to plants and thus it influences plant growth and yield and it is naturally occurring polymeric organic compound, humic acid has natural potential resources, that can be utilized to enhance plant growth like in tables (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) (Sharif et al., 2002). Humic Acid application significantly increased photosynthetic efficiency and chlorophyll contents of plants (Russo and Berlyn, 1990) humic acid was increased the permeability of cells membrane especially the root cells, that increases root absorption to the nutrient and that leads to increase plant height table (2), yield of seeds table (3), dry vegetative weight table (4), biological

yield table (5), head diameter table (6) and yield of oil table (7).

Nitrogen fertilizer application was increased plant height table (2), nitrogen fertilizer is related to carbohydrate utilization, when nitrogen supplies are adequate and conditions are favorable for growth, proteins are formed from the manufactured carbohydrates, less carbohydrates is thus deposited in the vegetative protein, that leads to more protoplasm is formed and because the protoplasm is highly hydrated, so there is more succulent plant results. (Marshner, 1995; Tisdale et al., 2003) therefore increase in crop growth is relate in line with increase in nitrogen fertilizer application. the nitrogen application was enhanced the activity of photosynthesis and that increased the leaf area and root activity, these reasons made plant to increase nutrient absorption all that leads to significant increasing in plant height table (2), yield of seeds table (3), dry vegetative weight table (4), biological yield table (5), head diameter table (6) and yield of oil table (7) (Tisdale et al., 2003).

Conclusion

Calcium carbide application enhanced the growth, yield and oil yield in sunflower and it was increased from nitrogen use efficiency and it was reduced from about 50% with yield is higher than full fertilization recommendation without calcium carbide. Humic acid was increased from yield and yield components of sunflower and its behavior was as nitrification inhibitor helped to increase yield and improve from plant growth. Nitrogen fertilizer application was increased the vegetative growth and yield of sunflower, the best treatment was the triple interference between calcium carbide, humic acid and nitrogen fertilizer in T₁₅ (60 kg CaC₂ ha⁻¹ + 70 kg humic acid ha⁻¹ + 100 kg N ha⁻¹) which gave the best yield for sunflower.

References

- Abbasi, N.A.; Hussain, A.; Maqbool, M.; Hafiz, I.A. and Qureshi, A.A. (2009). Encapsulated calcium carbide enhances production and postharvest performance of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers. New Zealand J. Crop & Hort. Sci., 37: 131-139.
- Abdel-Motagally, F.M.F. and Osman, E.A. (2010). Effect of Nitrogen and Potassium Fertilization Combinations on

Productivity of Two Sunflower Cultivars under East of El-ewinate Conditions. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences, 8(4): 397-401.

- Ali, A. and Noorka, I.R. (2013). Nitrogen and phosphorus management strategy for better growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hybrid. Soil Environment, 32(1): 44-48.
- Freney, J.R.; Randall, J.R.; Smith, J.W.; Hoodgking, J.; Hauington, K.J. and Morten, T.C. (2000). Slow release source of acetylene to inhibit nitrification in soil. Nutri Cycling in Agro-ecosystem, 56: 241-250.
- Kashif, S.R.; Yaseen, M.; Arshad, M. and Ayub, M. (2008). Response of okra (*Hibiscus esculuntus* L.) to soil given encapsulated calcium carbide. Pak. J. Bot., 40(1): 175-181.
- Keerthisinghee, D.G.; Jian, L.X.; Oixiang, L.; Mosier, A.R.; Lin, X.J. and Luo, Q.X. (1996). Effects of encapsulated calcium carbide and urea application methods on denitrification and nitrogen loss from flooded rice. Fert. Res., 45(1): 31-36.
- Khristeva, L.A. (1953). The participation of humic acids and other organic substances in the nutrition of higher plants, Pochvovedenic, 10: 46-59.
- Kolsarici, O.; Gür, A.; Basalma, D.; Kaya, M.D. and Isler, N. (2005). Production of oily plants. TMMOB Board of Agricultural Engineering, Turkey Agriculture Engineering VI. Technical Congress Proceedings Book, Ankara 1: 411-415.
- Koutroubas, S.D.; Papakosta, D.K. and Doitsinis, A. (2008). Nitrogen Utilization Efficiency of Safflower Hybrids and Open-Pollinated Varieties under Mediterranean Conditions, Field Crops Research, 107: 56-61.
- Mahmood, R.; Yaseen, M.; Arshad, M. and Tanvir, A. (2010). Comparative effect of different calcium carbide based formulation on growth and yield of wheat. Soil Environ., 29(1): 33-37.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants (2nd Ed.). Academic Press Ltd. London. U.K. 229-312.
- Morgan, W. and Gausman, H.W. (1966). Effects of ethylene on auxin's transport. Plant Physiol., 41: 45-52
- Ramesh, B. and Kumar, B. (2006). Response of induced dwarf mutants of barley to exogenous application of gibberellic acid. Barley Genetics Newsletter, 36: 3-5.
- Rasool, F.U.; Hassan, B. and Jahangir, I.A. (2013). Growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) as

influenced by nitrogen, sulphur and farmyard manure under temperate conditions. SAARC Journal of Agriculture, 11(1): 81-89.

- Russo, R.O. and Berlyn, G.P. (1990). The use of organic bio stimulants to help low input sustainable agriculture. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 1(2): 19-42.
- Seeneweera, S., S.K. Aben, A.S. Basra, B. Jones and J.P. Conroy. 2003. Involvement of ethylene in themorphological and developmental response of rice to elevated atmospheric CO2 concentrations. Plant Growth Regul., 39(2): 143-145.
- Sharif, M.; Khattak, R.A. and Sarir, M.S. (2002). Effect of different levels of lignitic coal derived humic acid on growth of maize plants. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 33(1): 3567-3580.
- Sharif, M.R.; Khattak, A. and Sarir, M.S. (2002). Effect of different levels of lignitic cool derived humic acid on growth of maize plants, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 33: 3567-3580.
- Thavaprakash, N.; Siva Kumar, S.D.; Raja, K. and Senthil, G.K. (2002). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels and ratios on seed yield and nutrient uptake of sunflower hybrid Dsh-I. Helia, 25: 59-68.
- Tisdale, S.L.; Nelson, W.L.; Beaton J.D. and Havlin, J.L. (2003). Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. (5th edition). Prentice-Hall of India. Prt Ltd. New Delhi.
- Wisi, H. and Hidari, G. (2013). Effect of mycorhiza and humic acid on yield and quality of sunflower, in Proc. 2th Seminar of Sustainable Development at Dry and Semi-Dry Lands.
- Xu, G.; Fan, X. and Miller, A.J. (2012). Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 63: 153-82.
- Yaseen, M.; Arshad, M. and Khalid, A. (2006). Effect of acetylene and ethylene gases released from encapsulated calcium carbide on growth and yield of wheat and cotton. Pedobiologia, 50: 405-411.
- Yaseen, M.; Hussain, S.A.; Kashif, S.R. and Arshad, M. (2009). Effect of encapsulated calcium carbide on growth, yield and N use efficiency of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Pak. J. Bot., 41(4): 1619-1625.
- Zubillaga, M.M.; Aristi, J.P. and Lavado, R.S. (2002). Effect of Phosphorus and Nitrogen Fertilization on Sunflower Nitrogen Uptake and Yield, Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 188: 267-274.